Written Response Source Analysis – Unit 4

Write a source analysis paper in which you respond to the three sources below, and connect them to our unit issue:

To what extent should globalization shape identity?

Remember to **interpret** each source, **explain** the perspective(s) reflected in the source and **discuss** the links between globalization in each source Make sure you identify and explain one or more of the relationships that exist among the three sources

Reminders for Writing:

- brainstorm first
- organize your ideas (similar ideas together)
- proof read your work
- use academic language

Source 1

Anti-sweatshop forces simply assume that a worker's living standard would improve if only foreign governments close down supposedly exploitative factories or force them to accept more stringent standards. The reality is much different...

Consider this: in the 1970s, 11 per cent of the world's poor were in Africa and 76 per cent in Asia. By 1988 this had reversed, Africa had 66 per cent of the world's poor and Asia had 15 per cent. Why did this occur? The difference between Africa and Asia can be seen in the fact that Asia posted strong economic growth while Africa did not. Asia's incredible growth directly coincided with a loosening of government controls of trade, a positive attitude toward globalization and opening numerous "sweatshops" in India and China... So instead of dooming generations, so-called sweatshop labour has lifted hundreds of millions out of poverty by giving them an alternative to starvation.

-Jason Carr, University Wire: "Anti-sweatshop Activists Miss the Point," Brown Daily Herald, January 25, 2006

Source 2



Source 3

